

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/668,158	HORIE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Callie E. Shosho	1714	

All Participants:

(1) Callie E. Shosho.

(2) Roger Lee.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in ~~the Notice of Allowability~~ Part II above.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Callie Shosho
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Lee in order to clarify, in light of applicants' amendment to claim 1 in the amendment filed 7/24/06, that the coloring agent comprises a pigment. Support for such amendment is found, for instance, on page 42, line 25-page 43, line 2 of the present specification.